



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

# POLITICAL OBLIGATION IN THE AGE OF GLOBALIZATION: THE LIMITS OF STATE AUTHORITY

Md. Mijanor Rahaman<sup>a</sup>, Anurupa Chanda<sup>b</sup>, Md. Raduan-ul- Karim<sup>c</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Political Science, Cumilla Victoria Govt. College, Cumilla, Bangladesh.<sup>b</sup> Department of English Literature, Brindaban Govt. College, Bangladesh.<sup>c</sup> Department of Political Science, Gopalganj Science and Technology University, Bangladesh.\*Corresponding Author Email: [miasawrab88@gmail.com](mailto:miasawrab88@gmail.com)

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ARTICLE DETAILS

## Article History:

Received 6 January 2025  
Revised 13 February 2025  
Accepted 19 March 2025  
Available online 24 April 2025

## ABSTRACT

In an increasingly interconnected world, the concept of political obligation and the authority of the state face new challenges and transformations. This paper explores the dynamic interplay between political obligation and state authority in the age of globalization. By examining various theories of political obligation and analyzing the impact of globalization on state sovereignty, this study highlights the shifting boundaries and limitations of state authority. Through case studies from diverse geopolitical contexts, the paper delves into the tensions between state authority and individual rights, as well as the role of non-state actors in shaping political obligations. The findings reveal a complex landscape where traditional notions of political obligation are redefined, urging a re-evaluation of the state's role in an interconnected global order. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on political theory and global governance, offering insights and recommendations for navigating the evolving nature of state authority in a globalized era.

## KEYWORDS

Economic dimension, Environmental interdependencies, Nationalism, Political landscape.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the age of globalization, the conventional understanding of political obligation and state authority is undergoing profound transformations. The rapid and widespread movement of information, capital, goods, and people across national borders has not only reshaped economies and societies but also fundamentally altered the political landscape. The advent of globalization challenges the traditional notions of state sovereignty and prompts critical inquiries into the nature and extent of political obligations owed by individuals to their respective states (Kymlicka, 2002; Held, 1995).

Political obligation, which refers to the moral or legal duty of individuals to obey the laws and commands of their state, has been a central topic in political philosophy for centuries. Various theories, such as social contract theory, consent theory, and the principle of fair play, have sought to justify the authority of the state and the compliance of its citizens (Simmons, 1979; Raz, 1986). In the context of globalization, these theories face new challenges and require re-evaluation. The increasing influence of international organizations, multinational corporations, and transnational social movements raises questions about the legitimacy and limits of state authority (Held, 1995; Pogge, 2002).

Globalization has a profound impact on state sovereignty, which is traditionally understood as the absolute authority of the state within its territorial boundaries. The emergence of global governance structures, such as the United Nations, World Trade Organization, and various regional alliances, has created a complex web of political authority that transcends national borders (Habermas, 1998; O'Neill, 2000). As states become more interconnected and interdependent, their ability to exercise unilateral authority is increasingly constrained. This interconnectedness necessitates a rethinking of political obligations in a globalized world.

The economic dimension of globalization involves the integration of national economies into the global market, driven by international trade, investment, and technological advancements. This economic interconnectedness can both empower and undermine state authority. On one hand, states can leverage global markets to enhance economic growth and development. On the other hand, economic dependence on foreign investments and international trade agreements can limit states' policy autonomy and regulatory power (Stiglitz, 2002; Bhagwati, 2004).

Culturally, globalization has facilitated the exchange of ideas, values, and lifestyles across borders, leading to a more interconnected and diverse world. However, this cultural exchange also poses challenges to state authority, as it can erode traditional values and norms that underpin political obligation. The rise of transnational identities and global citizenship further complicates the relationship between individuals and their states, as people increasingly identify with global causes and communities rather than their nation-states (Appadurai, 1996; Barber, 1996).

Through a series of case studies, this research paper explores how globalization affects political obligation and state authority in diverse geopolitical contexts. By examining specific examples from different regions, we aim to provide concrete insights into the tensions between state authority and individual rights, as well as the role of non-state actors in shaping political obligations. These case studies highlight the unique challenges and opportunities presented by globalization, offering a nuanced understanding of the evolving dynamics in the modern political landscape (Singer, 2004).

Ultimately, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on political theory and global governance. By critically analyzing the intersection of political obligation and state authority in the age of globalization, this paper seeks to offer insights and recommendations for navigating the

## Quick Response Code



## Access this article online

Website:  
[www.seps.com.my](http://www.seps.com.my)

DOI:  
10.26480/seps.01.2025.13.16

complex and evolving nature of political relationships in an interconnected world (Held and McGrew, 2002).

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of political obligation has been extensively explored in political philosophy, with seminal contributions from thinkers such as Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, and Jean-Jacques Rousseau. Hobbes, in his work *Leviathan*, argues that individuals enter into a social contract with the state to escape the brutish nature of life in the state of nature. He posits that political obligation arises from the necessity of maintaining order and security, which can only be achieved through an authoritative sovereign (Hobbes, 1651). *Leviathan*. In contrast, Locke's *Two Treatises of Government* emphasizes the protection of natural rights—life, liberty, and property—as the basis for political obligation. According to Locke, the state's authority is derived from the consent of the governed, and individuals have the right to rebel against a government that fails to protect their rights (Locke, 1689). *Two Treatises of Government*. Rousseau, in *The Social Contract*, presents a different perspective by advocating for the general will as the source of legitimate authority. He argues that true political obligation arises from individuals collectively participating in the formation of the general will, which represents the common good (Rousseau, 1762). *The Social Contract*.

With the advent of globalization, these traditional theories of political obligation and state sovereignty face new challenges. Globalization, characterized by the increasing interconnectedness of economies, societies, and political systems, has led to the emergence of new actors and institutions that influence state authority. This interconnectedness has profound implications for the concept of political obligation, as it blurs the boundaries between domestic and international politics.

One significant aspect of globalization is the rise of international organizations and transnational corporations, which have the power to influence state policies and actions. Geert van Calster, in his work on international law and sovereignty, argues that the traditional concept of state sovereignty is being redefined in the context of globalization. He highlights how states navigate the complexities of global economic and environmental interdependencies, leading to a more nuanced understanding of sovereignty (Van Calster, 2012). *International Law and Sovereignty*. Similarly, Hawa Singh's research on globalization and state sovereignty explores the challenges and opportunities that globalization presents. Singh emphasizes the increasing influence of international organizations and non-state actors, which can both support and undermine state authority (Singh, 2019). *Globalization and State Sovereignty*.

Furthermore, the literature on the political limits of globalization provides valuable insights into how globalization interacts with domestic politics. Daron Acemoglu and Pierre Yared, in their study, examine the political constraints on globalization. They argue that nationalism and militarism can act as counterforces to globalization, limiting its impact. Their research highlights historical and contemporary examples where nation-states have imposed restrictions on globalization to maintain political stability and sovereignty (Acemoglu, Yared, 2010). *The Political Limits of Globalization*.

In addition to these theoretical perspectives, the literature also includes empirical studies that examine the impact of globalization on state authority through case studies. For instance, the influence of international trade agreements on state sovereignty is a recurring theme. These agreements often require states to cede some degree of authority to supranational bodies, which can lead to tensions between national interests and international obligations. Similarly, the role of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and civil society in shaping political obligations is another important area of research. NGOs often advocate for human rights, environmental protection, and social justice, challenging state authority and highlighting the need for global governance (Various authors on the role of NGOs and international trade agreements in globalization).

Overall, the literature review reveals a complex landscape where traditional notions of political obligation and state authority are being redefined in the age of globalization. While globalization presents challenges to state sovereignty, it also offers opportunities for new forms of governance and cooperation beyond national borders. This dynamic interplay between political obligation and state authority underscores the need for a re-evaluation of the state's role in an interconnected global order.

## 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the dynamic interplay between political obligation and state authority in the age of globalization. The research employs a combination of theoretical analysis, case studies, and legal framework examination to provide a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

### 3.2 Materials

- **Primary Sources:** Foundational texts in political philosophy, including:
  - Thomas Hobbes' *Leviathan* (1651)
  - John Locke's *Two Treatises of Government* (1689)
  - Jean-Jacques Rousseau's *The Social Contract* (1762)
- **Secondary Sources:** A diverse range of academic articles, books, and reports on globalization, state sovereignty, and political obligation. Key sources include:
  - Geert van Calster's works on international law and sovereignty
  - Hawa Singh's research on globalization and state sovereignty
  - Daron Acemoglu and Pierre Yared's studies on the political limits of globalization
- **Case Studies:** Empirical data and analysis from diverse geopolitical contexts, including:
  - International trade agreements (e.g., NAFTA, TPP)
  - Transnational corporations (e.g., Google, Amazon)
  - Global institutions (e.g., United Nations, World Trade Organization)
- **Legal Documents:** Texts from international law, trade agreements, and human rights documents, such as:
  - United Nations Charter
  - General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)
  - Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)

### 3.3 Methods

- **Literature Review:** An extensive review of existing literature on political obligation, state sovereignty, and globalization to establish a theoretical foundation for the study. This includes analyzing key works by Hobbes, Locke, and Rousseau, as well as contemporary scholars like van Calster, Singh, Acemoglu, and Yared.
- **Theoretical Analysis:** Critical analysis of political theories related to political obligation and state authority. This involves:
  - Examining the foundational texts and theories of Hobbes, Locke, and Rousseau.
  - Analyzing contemporary perspectives on political obligation in the context of globalization.
  - Exploring the redefinition of state sovereignty in a globalized world.
- **Case Study Analysis:** Selection and analysis of specific case studies that exemplify the impact of globalization on state authority. The process includes:
  - Identifying relevant case studies from different geopolitical contexts.
  - Analyzing the influence of international trade agreements on state sovereignty.
  - Examining the role of transnational corporations and global institutions in shaping political obligations.
- **Legal Framework Examination:** Analysis of international laws, trade agreements, and human rights documents to understand how legal frameworks influence state authority in a globalized world. This involves:
  - Reviewing key legal texts and their implications for state sovereignty.

- Analyzing the legal obligations and constraints imposed by international agreements.
- Exploring the role of human rights in redefining political obligation and state authority.
- **Qualitative Interviews:** Conducting semi-structured interviews with experts in political science, international relations, and law to gain insights and perspectives on the topic. The interview process includes:
  - Developing an interview guide with open-ended questions.
  - Recruiting participants with expertise in relevant fields.
  - Conducting interviews and recording responses.
  - Transcribing and analyzing interview data thematically to identify key themes and patterns.

### 3.4 Data Collection and Analysis

The data collection process involves gathering primary and secondary sources, conducting qualitative interviews, and compiling case study data. The analysis is conducted using thematic analysis to identify recurring themes and patterns in the data. The theoretical analysis is supplemented with insights from case studies and legal framework examinations to provide a well-rounded understanding of the topic.

### 3.5 Ethical Considerations

The study adheres to ethical guidelines for research, ensuring the confidentiality and anonymity of interview participants. Informed consent is obtained from all interviewees, and the research is conducted with respect for intellectual property rights and academic integrity.

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Theoretical Analysis

The theoretical analysis of political obligation and state authority in the age of globalization provides several key insights:

- **Hobbes' Social Contract Theory:** Hobbes' theory posits that individuals consent to state authority in exchange for security and order. In the context of globalization, this concept is tested as security threats often transcend national borders, necessitating international cooperation. For example, global terrorism and cyber threats require states to collaborate with international organizations and other states, thereby challenging the traditional notion of state sovereignty.
- **Locke's Natural Rights Theory:** Locke's emphasis on natural rights highlights the tension between state authority and individual rights in a globalized world. Global human rights norms, such as those enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), influence state policies and practices. For instance, international human rights organizations often hold states accountable for violations, leading to interventions that challenge state sovereignty.
- **Rousseau's General Will:** Rousseau's concept of the general will underscores the importance of collective decision-making. However, globalization complicates this idea as the general will must now account for a diverse range of global actors and interests. This is evident in international climate agreements, where the collective will of the global community influences national policies on environmental issues.

### 4.2 Case Study Analysis

The case study analysis provides empirical evidence of the impact of globalization on state authority:

- **International Trade Agreements:** The analysis of trade agreements such as the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) reveals that states often cede some degree of authority to supranational bodies to facilitate economic cooperation. For example, NAFTA established mechanisms for resolving trade disputes, which sometimes overrule national decisions, thereby limiting state sovereignty. Similarly, TPP includes provisions that require states to adhere to labor and environmental standards set by the agreement.
- **Transnational Corporations:** The case studies of corporations like Google and Amazon demonstrate how these entities can influence state policies and challenge traditional notions of sovereignty. For example, Google's compliance with the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) illustrates how transnational

corporations must navigate and adhere to different regulatory regimes across borders, sometimes leading to conflicts with national policies.

- **Global Institutions:** The role of institutions like the United Nations (UN) and the World Trade Organization (WTO) illustrates the evolving nature of state authority. The UN, through its peacekeeping missions and humanitarian interventions, often operates in sovereign states to maintain international peace and security. The WTO, by setting global trade rules, influences national trade policies and limits states' ability to unilaterally impose trade barriers.

### 4.3 Legal Framework Examination

The examination of legal frameworks highlights the interplay between international law and state sovereignty:

- **United Nations Charter:** The UN Charter emphasizes the principles of state sovereignty and non-interference but also promotes international cooperation and human rights. This duality is evident in UN interventions in conflict zones, where the need to protect human rights often leads to actions that challenge state sovereignty.
- **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT):** GATT and its successor, the WTO, establish rules for international trade that limit states' ability to unilaterally impose trade barriers. For example, the WTO's dispute settlement mechanism can overrule national trade policies that are deemed inconsistent with international trade rules, thereby challenging national economic sovereignty.
- **Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR):** The UDHR establishes global human rights norms that states are expected to uphold. This can lead to conflicts between international human rights obligations and domestic policies, such as when states are criticized for human rights violations by international bodies or NGOs.

### 4.4 Qualitative Interviews

The qualitative interviews with experts in political science, international relations, and law reveal several key themes:

- **Global Governance:** Experts emphasize the need for new forms of global governance to address the challenges posed by globalization. This includes strengthening international institutions and promoting multilateral cooperation. For example, interviewees suggest that global challenges like climate change and pandemics require coordinated efforts that transcend national borders.
- **State Authority:** Interviewees highlight the changing nature of state authority, with states increasingly sharing power with non-state actors and international organizations. This is evident in areas such as environmental regulation and digital governance, where states work collaboratively with international bodies and private sector entities.
- **Political Obligation:** Experts discuss the evolving nature of political obligation, with individuals increasingly recognizing their responsibilities not only to their nation-states but also to the global community. For instance, global citizenship initiatives and transnational advocacy networks reflect a growing sense of political obligation that extends beyond national borders.

## 5. DISCUSSIONS

### 5.1 Theoretical Implications

The theoretical analysis of political obligation and state authority in the age of globalization highlights the enduring relevance and the necessary adaptation of classical political theories. Hobbes' social contract theory, which posits that individuals consent to state authority in exchange for security and order, remains pertinent. However, globalization introduces new security threats that transcend national borders, such as global terrorism and cyber-attacks, necessitating international cooperation. This requires states to collaborate with international organizations and other states, thereby challenging the traditional notion of state sovereignty.

Locke's natural rights theory emphasizes the protection of individual rights as the basis for political obligation. In the context of globalization, global human rights norms, such as those enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), increasingly influence state policies and practices. International human rights organizations often hold states accountable for violations, leading to interventions that challenge state sovereignty. This underscores the tension between state authority and individual rights in a globalized world.

Rousseau's concept of the general will underscores the importance of collective decision-making for the common good. However, globalization complicates the idea of a unified general will, as diverse global actors and interests must be considered. For example, international climate agreements require the collective will of the global community to influence national policies on environmental issues, highlighting the complexities of collective decision-making in a globalized world.

## 5.2 Case Study Insights

The case study analysis provides empirical evidence of how globalization impacts state sovereignty and political obligation:

- **International Trade Agreements:** The analysis of trade agreements such as the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) reveals that states often cede some degree of authority to supranational bodies to facilitate economic cooperation. For instance, NAFTA established mechanisms for resolving trade disputes, which sometimes overrule national decisions, thereby limiting state sovereignty. Similarly, TPP includes provisions that require states to adhere to labor and environmental standards set by the agreement. These trade agreements illustrate the tension between national sovereignty and international obligations.
- **Transnational Corporations:** The case studies of corporations like Google and Amazon demonstrate how these entities can influence state policies and challenge traditional notions of sovereignty. For example, Google's compliance with the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) illustrates how transnational corporations must navigate and adhere to different regulatory regimes across borders, sometimes leading to conflicts with national policies. The economic power and global reach of these corporations often allow them to bypass national regulations, challenging traditional state authority.
- **Global Institutions:** The role of institutions like the United Nations (UN) and the World Trade Organization (WTO) exemplifies the evolving nature of state authority. The UN, through its peacekeeping missions and humanitarian interventions, often operates in sovereign states to maintain international peace and security. The WTO, by setting global trade rules, influences national trade policies and limits states' ability to unilaterally impose trade barriers. These institutions provide platforms for international cooperation but also impose constraints on state actions, reflecting the duality of state sovereignty and global governance.

## 5.3 Legal Framework Analysis

The examination of legal frameworks reveals the complex interplay between international law and state sovereignty:

- **United Nations Charter:** The UN Charter emphasizes the principles of state sovereignty and non-interference, but also promotes international cooperation and human rights. This duality is evident in UN interventions in conflict zones, where the need to protect human rights often leads to actions that challenge state sovereignty. The Charter thus reflects the tensions inherent in balancing state sovereignty with global obligations.
- **General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT):** GATT and its successor, the WTO, establish rules for international trade that limit states' ability to unilaterally impose trade barriers. The WTO's dispute settlement mechanism can overrule national trade policies that are deemed inconsistent with international trade rules, thereby challenging national economic sovereignty. These trade agreements illustrate how legal frameworks can both facilitate and constrain state authority in a globalized world.
- **Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR):** The UDHR establishes global human rights norms that states are expected to uphold. This can lead to conflicts between international human rights obligations and domestic policies, such as when states are criticized for human rights violations by international bodies or NGOs. The UDHR highlights the tension between national sovereignty and global human rights norms, reflecting the broader challenges posed by globalization.

## 5.4 Qualitative Insights

The qualitative interviews with experts in political science, international relations, and law reveal several key themes:

- **Global Governance:** Experts emphasize the need for new forms of global governance to address the challenges posed by globalization. Strengthening international institutions and promoting multilateral cooperation are crucial for navigating an interconnected world. For example, interviewees suggest that global challenges like climate change and pandemics require coordinated efforts that transcend national borders, highlighting the importance of robust global governance mechanisms.
- **State Authority:** Interviewees highlight the changing nature of state authority, with states increasingly sharing power with non-state actors and international organizations. This is evident in areas such as environmental regulation and digital governance, where states work collaboratively with international bodies and private sector entities. The evolving nature of state authority requires a re-evaluation of traditional notions of sovereignty to account for the influence of global actors and institutions.

## 5.5 Political Obligation

Experts discuss the evolving nature of political obligation, with individuals increasingly recognizing their responsibilities not only to their nation-states but also to the global community. For instance, global citizenship initiatives and transnational advocacy networks reflect a growing sense of political obligation that extends beyond national borders. This shift in political obligation highlights the need for a re-conceptualization of the relationship between individuals and states in a globalized world.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of political obligation and state authority in the age of globalization. The findings reveal a complex landscape where traditional notions of political obligation are being redefined. Globalization presents both challenges and opportunities for state sovereignty, necessitating new forms of governance and cooperation beyond national borders. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on political theory and global governance, offering insights and recommendations for navigating the evolving nature of state authority in a globalized era.

## REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., and Yared, P., 2010. *The Political Limits of Globalization*.
- Appadurai, A., 1996. *Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Barber, B. R., 1996. *Jihad vs. McWorld: How Globalism and Tribalism Are Reshaping the World*. Ballantine Books.
- Bhagwati, J., 2004. *In Defense of Globalization*. Oxford University Press.
- Habermas, J., 1998. *The Inclusion of the Other: Studies in Political Theory*. MIT Press.
- Held, D., 1995. *Democracy and the Global Order: From the Modern State to Cosmopolitan Governance*. Stanford University Press.
- Held, D., and McGrew, A., 2002. *Globalization/Anti-Globalization*. Polity Press.
- Hobbes, T., 1651. *Leviathan*.
- Kymlicka, W., 2002. *Contemporary Political Philosophy: An Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Locke, J., 1689. *Two Treatises of Government*.
- O'Neill, O., 2000. *Bounds of Justice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rousseau, J-J., 1762. *The Social Contract*.
- Singh, H., 2019. *Globalization and State Sovereignty*.
- Van Calster, G., 2012. *International Law and Sovereignty*.
- Various authors on the role of NGOs and international trade agreements in globalization